

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOx 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
. 10/666,680	09/18/2003	Eric S. Reindel	1135/27/2	8256
25297 75	7590 11/02/2006		EXAMINER	
JENKINS, WILSON, TAYLOR & HUNT, P. A.			ISABELLA, DAVID J	
3100 TOWER 1	BLVD	,		
SUITE 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DURHAM, NC 27707			3738	
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summan	10/666,680	REINDEL, ERIC S.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DAVID J. ISABELLA	3738
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 A	August 2006.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 31,32 and 35-42 is/a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,6,7,11-16,20,21,25-30,33 and 34 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3-10,17-24 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	re withdrawn from consideration. is/are rejected.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		* *
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	_	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat In this price is a second of the contract of the c	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/28/05;8/14/06	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 3738

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of ;claims 1-30,33,34 directed to group 1 including species 2A-2G in the reply filed on 8/17/2006 is acknowledged.

Claims 31,33 and 35-42 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention/species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 8/17/2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,6,7,11,12,13,14,15,16,20,21,25,26,27,28,29,30,33,34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Menon [5702470].

Applicant's claims as worded are readable on the wrist implant of Menon. The limitation corresponding to the socket protrusion including the language of "(d) wherein the socket protrusion of the carpal component is adapted to linearly engage the socket recess of the bearing component to desirably limit rotational and translational movement of the carpal component relative to the bearing component" does not preclude the sliding engagement of the socket recess and the protrusion in the device of Menon. It should be noted that the cooperation between the protrusion and the recess performs

the function of "desirably limit rotational and translational movement of the carpal component relative to the bearing component" as broadly claimed.

Claim 2, the elongated radial stem of the radial component is in an off-center position in relation to a center of the lower surface of the radial component.

Claims 6 and 7, the upper bearing surface of the radial component is at least substantially concave; and the lower bearing surface of the bearing component is at least substantially convex in proportional relationship to the substantially concaved upper bearing surface of the radial component.

Claim 11, the articulating bearing component is constructed of a plastic material.

Claim 12, the lower surface of the radial component has a first substantially flat portion and a second substantially flat portion disposed at an angle with respect to the first flat portion.

Claims 13 and 14, as broadly worded Menon discloses pushing the stem into the cement which may be construed as press-fitting the stem in the prepared canal. The language of "the elongated radial stem of the radial component is fixated to the radius bone through the use of bone cement" does not preclude fixation to the radius bone through press-fitting.

Claim 15 is an independent claim directed to similar subject matter as claim 1 further including the limitation of "each socket protrusion defines an opening therethrough adapted for receiving a screw". This feature is disclosed by Menon. Claims 16,20,21,25,26,27 and 28, are similar to the rejections to the corresponding dependent claims as rejected supra.

Claims 29,30 are similarly rejected as set forth supra.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Menon [5702470] further in view of Ball et al [2003/0216813].

While it is not clear if the wrist system of Menon inherently possess a kit or system comprising plurality of interchangeable components/subcomponents, Ball et al. teaches that modularity of components/subcomponents are well known in the art and does not form the inventive basis of applicant's invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-10,17-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID J. ISABELLA whose telephone number is 571-272-4749. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY.

Art Unit: 3738

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CORRINE MCDERMOTT can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DAVID JASABELLA Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738

DJI 10/12/2006